

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| 09/458,533 | 12/09/99 | LI | | | C | 99.7 | 43 |
| _ | | | _ | ¬ | | EXAMINE | iR |
| | | HM12/ | /1106 | | | | |
| ROBIN M. SI | LVA, ESQ. | | | | SISSON | <u> 1. B</u> | |
| FLEHR HOHBA | CH TEST ALB | RITTON AND | HERBERT | | ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER |
| FOUR EMBARCA SUITE 3400 SAN FRANCISA | | R | | | 1655 DATE MAILED | : | 2(|
| | | | | | | 11/ | 06/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| , | | 09/458,533 | LI ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Bradley L. Sisson | 1655 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 September 2001</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | • | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-55</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-55</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 1999</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informa | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/458,533

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 36-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heller et al., in view of Wiles et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/458,533

Art Unit: 1655

See the prior Office action for the basis of the rejection.

Response to argument

At page 6 of the response received 06 August 2001 it is asserted that "Heller does not teach or suggest electrochemical detection methods. Instead, it teaches fluorescent and optical detection [methods]." This argument also been fully considered and has not been found persuasive as the claims currently under consideration are not directed to a method but are directed to the apparatus per se. Alternative motivation for making a device over that of applicant does not necessarily render moot the issue of obviousness as it is the device that is under consideration.

At page 7, last paragraph, bridging to page 8 of the response it is asserted that Wiles et al., is effectively silent with regards to motivation for substituting their lithium buffer in the device of Heller et al. This argument also been fully considered and has not been found persuasive. At taught by Wiles et al., column 4, ultimate paragraph, any of a variety of suitable buffers may be saed, including those based on sodium as well as those based on lithium. The device of Heller et al., comprised a sodium-based buffer. In view of the explicit teachings of the various types of buffers available to the ordinary artisan, said ordinary artisan would have been motivated to have used a lithium-based buffer as such was interchangeable.

In view of the foregoing remarks, and in the absence of convincing evidence to the contrary, the rejection is applied against amended claims 36-55.

Bth.

Application/Control Number: 09/458,533

Art Unit: 1655

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is 703-308-3978.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on 703-308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Bradley L. Sisson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

bls

November 4, 2001